Annex III

Interview Guides

terviewer name:
espondent title:
ate:

RELEVANCE

- 1. How was the project developed?
- 2. Were there specific problems you felt the project was designed to address? Specifically in relation to:
 - provision of information and outreach to migrants communities on the service provided by the MRRC, including opportunities for AVRR
 - provision of support, access basic services and referrals to more specialized services
- 3. How did you ensure that this second phase of the project would be consistent with, and supportive of target beneficiaries needs?
- 4. To what extent do you consider the project document identifies the outcomes and impacts expected from the project?
- 5. How coherent and realistic do you consider the intervention logic? (including indicators)
- 6. What assumptions underlined the project design? To what extent do you consider the assumptions have been accurate?
- 7. To what extent do you consider the target populations, target locations and activities sufficiently well-defined and implemented in order to reach the project's objectives?

EFFECTIVENESS

- 13. What were the primary activities implemented as part of this phase of the project?
- 14. Were there any activities planned, but not implemented?
 - a. If so, what were they?
 - b.. Why were they not implemented?
- 15. How do you understand the link between the project's activities and the desired objectives?
- 16. To what extent do you consider project objectives have been met under
 - Outcome 1: Potential migrants, migrants' en-route demonstrate a decreased preference for irregular migration.
 - Outcome 2: MRRC contributes to mixed migrant populations in Khartoum realizing their protection rights.

Please elaborate on your answers as appropriate.

- 17. Did you experience any barriers or challenges to implementation of project activities?
 - a. If so, which challenges/barriers and on which activities?
 - b. How did they affect the outcome of the activity(ies)?
- 18. Were you able to overcome them? If so, how?

EFFICIENCY

- 19. To what extent were activities implemented as scheduled? If there were delays,
 - a. how were they addressed?
 - b. to what extent do you consider they affected the quality of activities delivered?
- 20. How were resources allocated and monitored to encourage cost-effective implementation?
- 21. What factors, in your view, contributed to the efficiency or inefficiency of project implementation?
- 22. What, if any, alternative strategies could have achieved similar results in a more cost effective manner?

IMPACT

- 23. What, in your opinion, have been the main achievements of the project?
- 24. In your view, what type of observed changes did the project contribute to with regard to:
 - knowledge
 - attitudes
 - capacity (personal/institutional)
- 25. What difference has the project made to the project beneficiaries?
- 26. What if any unintended or unexpected effects occurred as a consequence of the project's interventions? Were these effects positive or negative?

SUSTAINABILITY

- 27. Of the achievements you listed, are there any plans in place to ensure that they continue beyond this project? If yes, please provide details, including with regard to the planned phase III of the project
- 28. In your view, what additional measures could have been taken to promote sustainability?
- 29. To what extent are project's activities supported by local institutions and well integrated with social, political and cultural conditions in Sudan?
- 30. Were there other IOM and/or non-IOM resources or activities devoted to: 1) the provision of information and outreach to migrants communities on the service provided by the MRRC, including opportunities for AVRR; 2) the provision of support, access basic services and referrals to more specialized services *If yes, please provide details*

GOOD PRACTICES AND LESSONS LEARNED

- 31. Has the project been able to draw on the recommendations from the evaluation of the first phase? *If yes, provide details*
- 32. In your view, which objectives could be built-on further?
- 33. Which, if any, aspects should be avoided to improve implementation?
- 34. In your view, which (if any) results, lessons learned, or good practices should be considered for the next phase of the project?

Interview Guide Donor

Interviewer name	:
Respondent title:	
Date:	

RELEVANCE

- 1. How was the project developed?
- 2. How does this second phase of project link with, and build on, the previous project phase, including the phase one evaluation findings?
- 3. Were there specific problems you felt the project was designed to address? Specifically in relation to:
 - provision of information and outreach to migrants communities on the service provided by the MRRC, including opportunities for AVRR
 - provision of support, access basic services and referrals to more specialized services
- 4. How did the project design and implementation ensure that the project would be consistent with, and supportive of target beneficiaries needs?
- 5. To what extent do you consider the project documents identify the outcomes and impacts expected from the project?
- 6. How coherent and realistic do you consider the intervention logic?
- 7. What assumptions underlined the project design? To what extent do you consider the assumptions have been accurate?
- 8. To what extent do you consider the target populations, target locations and activities sufficiently well-defined and implemented in order to reach the project's objectives?

EFFECTIVENESS

- 9. What were the primary activities implemented as part of this phase of the project?
- 10. How do you understand the link between the project's activities and the desired objectives?
- 11. To what extent do you consider project objectives have been met under
 - Outcome 1: Potential migrants, migrants' en-route demonstrate a decreased preference for irregular migration.
 - Outcome 2: MRRC contributes to mixed migrant populations in Khartoum realizing their protection rights. Please elaborate on your answers as appropriate.
- 12. Did the project experience any barriers or challenges in the implementation of activities?
 - a. If so, which challenges/barriers and on which activities?
 - b. How did they affect the outcome of the activity(ies)?
 - c. How did the project overcome them?

EFFICIENCY

- 13. To what extent were activities implemented as scheduled?
 - a. If there were delays, how were they addressed?
 - o. To what extent do you consider they affected the quality of activities delivered?
- 14. What factors, in your view, contributed to the efficiency or inefficiency of project implementation?

15. What, if any, alternative strategies could have achieved similar results in a more cost effective manner?

IMPACT

- 17. What, in your opinion, have been the main achievements of the project?
- 18. What difference has the project made to the project beneficiaries?

SUSTAINABILITY

- 19. Of the achievements you listed, are there any plans in place to ensure that they continue beyond this project?
 - a. If yes, please provide details
- 20. In your view, what additional measures could have been taken to promote sustainability?
- 21. In your view, to what extent are project's activities supported by local institutions and well integrated with social, political and cultural conditions in Sudan?

GOOD PRACTICES AND LESSONS LEARNED

- 22. In your view, has the project been able to draw on the recommendations from the evaluation of the first phase?
- 23. In your view, which objective could be built-on further?
- 24. Which, if any, aspects should be avoided to improve implementation?
- 25. In your view, which (if any) results, lessons learned, or good practices should be considered for the next phase of the project?